# **Correlated One-Center Wavefunctions for Two-Electron Molecules**

# IV. Integrals for a Cutoff Correlation Factor. Application to  $H_3^+$

### T. C. CHANG and F. GREIN

Department of Chemistry, University of New Brunswick, Fredericton, New Brunswick, Canada

#### Received January 26, 1970

Integrals are evaluated in closed form for one-center two-electron CI wavefunctions which are multiplied by a cutoff correlation factor. Computational results are reported for the ground state of equilateral triangular  $H_3^+$ .

Integrale werden in geschlossener Form ausgeffihrt, welche ffir Ein-Zentrum Konfigurationswechselwirkung-Wellenfunktionen für Moleküle mit zwei Elektronen benötigt werden. Ergebnisse für den Grundzustand von  $H_3^+$ , mit der Struktur des gleichseitigen Dreieckes, werden mitgeteilt.

Evaluation sous forme analytique d'intégrales pour des fonctions d'onde d'I.C. à deux électrons monocentriques multipliées par un facteur de corrélation avec coupure. Des résultats numériques sont donnée pour l'état fondamental de  $H_3^+$  en triangle équilatéral.

#### **Introduction**

In the previous papers of this series (hereafter referred to as I  $[1]$ , II  $[2]$  and III [3]) configuration-interaction (CI) and SCF-type one-center wavefunctions for two-electron systems were multiplied by the correlation factor  $1+\alpha r_{12}$ . Integrals were evaluated and computations performed with various wavefunctions on equilateral triangular  $H_3^+$  and HeH<sup>+</sup>, in order to demonstrate the improvement in energy due to the correlation factor,  $\Delta E$ , as a function of the number of terms, m, in the CI or SCF expansion. The results obtained so far indicate that  $\Delta E$  increases with m for SCF functions [3] but decreases rapidly for CI functions [2]. For a SCF expansion  $(H_3^+)$   $\Delta E/E^0$  increased from 0.3 to 1.8% and  $\alpha$  from 0.049 to 0.189 for *m* from 1 to 9, whereas for a CI expansion (HeH<sup>+</sup>)  $\Delta E/E^0$ decreased from 3 to 0.12% and  $\alpha$  from 0.40 to 0.17 with m going from 1 to 20.  $E^0$  is the energy resulting from the uncorrelated wavefunction. In the case of HeH<sup>+</sup>  $\Delta E$  increased with the internuclear distance R.

In this paper we derive the integrals needed if one-center two-electron wavefunctions consisting of s-type STO's are multiplied by a cutoff correlation factor  $1 + \alpha h(r_{12}),$  with

$$
h(r_{12}) = \begin{cases} r_{12} & \text{if } 0 \le r_{12} \le D \\ D & \text{if } D \le r_{12} \le \infty \end{cases}.
$$

This correlation factor has been introduced by Gimarc, Cooney, and Parr [4], and applied to two-electron atoms. The parameter  $D$  allows to describe the effective range of correlation in a well-defined way.

26 Theoret. chim. Acta (Berl.) Vol. 17

372 T.C. Chang and F. Grein:

The computations by Gimarc *et al.* gave the minimum energy at  $D = \infty$ . but for some of their CI functions the energy passed through a relative minimum at finite D. The range of correlation, defined as the value of D for which 90 to 95 % of the energy improvement due to the correlation  $1 + \alpha r_{12}$  is obtained, was about 2 Bohr radii for He, and decreased approximately as *l/Z,* Z being the atomic number of the two-electron atom [5J.

In this work computations will be performed on equilateral triangular  $H_3^+$ , based on Joshi's [6] one-center SCF calculations.

### **Evaluation of Integrals**

The trial wavefunction for two-electrons will be written as

$$
\Psi^{\alpha}(\vec{r}_{1}, \vec{r}_{2}) = \{1 + \alpha h(r_{12})\} \Psi^{0}(r_{1}, r_{2})
$$
  
=  $\{1 + \alpha h(r_{12})\} \sum_{k=1}^{M} C_{k} \Phi_{k}^{0}(r_{1}, r_{2})$   
=  $\sum_{k=1}^{M} C_{k} \Phi_{k}(r_{1}, r_{2}).$  (1)

 $\Psi^0$  and  $\Phi^0$  are uncorrelated one-center wavefunctions, built from s-type STO's. As in Eq. (2) of II, the spin-free Hamiltonian operator is written as

$$
H = T + V_1 + V_2 + V_3 + V_4,
$$

where T stands for the kinetic energy operator of the two electrons,  $V_1$  for the Coulomb attraction between central nucleus and electrons,  $V_3$  between offcenter nuclei and electrons,  $V_2$  for the Coulomb repulsion between the electrons, and  $V_4$  between the nuclei.

We define for an arbitrary operator **B** 

$$
B_{ij} = \langle \Phi_i | \mathbf{B} | \Phi_j \rangle,
$$
  
\n
$$
B_{ij}^k = \langle \Phi_i^0 | \{ h(r) \}^k \mathbf{B} | \Phi_j^0 \rangle,
$$
  
\n
$$
S_{ij} = \langle \Phi_i | \Phi_j \rangle,
$$
  
\n
$$
S_{ij}^k = \langle \Phi_i^0 | \{ h(r) \}^k | \Phi_j^0 \rangle,
$$
\n(2)

where  $r \equiv r_{12}$ .

Then one obtains for  $V = V_1, V_2, V_3$ 

$$
V_{ij} = V_{ij}^{0} + 2\alpha V_{ij}^{1} + \alpha^{2} V_{ij}^{2},
$$
  
\n
$$
S_{ij} = S_{ij}^{0} + 2\alpha S_{ij}^{1} + \alpha^{2} S_{ij}^{2}.
$$
\n(3)

and

We expand

$$
\Phi_i^0(r_1, r_2) = A_i \sum_{p_i, q_i, \eta_i, \zeta_i} c_i(p_i, q_i, \eta_i, \zeta_i) r_1^{p_i} r_2^{q_i} e^{-\eta_i r_1} e^{-\zeta_i r_2}, \qquad (4)
$$

where  $A_i$  is a symmetrization operator in the case of singlet states. Defining

$$
(p, \eta/q, \zeta)^{m,n} = \iint r_1^p r_2^q e^{-\eta r_1} e^{-\zeta r_2} \{h(r)\}^m r^n \, dv_1 \, dv_2 \,, \tag{5}
$$

and similarly  $[p, \eta/q, \zeta]^{m,n}_{x}$  with the additional factor  $R_{1x}^{-1}$  in the integrand, we obtain (for s-orbitals only)

$$
V_{1ij}^{m} = -2Z_0 A_i A_j \sum c_i c_j (p-1, \eta/q, \zeta)^{m,0},
$$
  
\n
$$
V_{2ij}^{m} = A_i A_j \sum c_i c_j (p, \eta/q, \zeta)^{m,-1},
$$
  
\n
$$
V_{3ij}^{m} = -2A_i A_j \sum c_i c_j \Big\{ \sum_{X} Z_X [p, \eta/q, \zeta]_{X}^{m,0} \Big\},
$$
  
\n
$$
S_{ij}^{m} = A_i A_j \sum c_i c_i (p, \eta/q, \zeta)^{m,0},
$$
\n(6)

where  $p = p_i + p_j$ ,  $q = q_i + q_j$ ,  $\eta = \eta_i + \eta_j$ ,  $\zeta = \zeta_i + \zeta_j$ .

Now we evaluate the matrix elements for the kinetic energy operator T.

$$
T_{ij} = \iint (\vec{V}_1 \Phi_i) \cdot (\vec{V}_1 \Phi_j) dv_1 dv_2
$$
  
=  $\mathcal{T}_{ij}^0 + 2\alpha \mathcal{T}_{ij}^1 + \alpha^2 \mathcal{T}_{ij}^2$ ,

with

$$
\mathcal{F}_{ij}^0 = \ell_{ij}^0, \mathcal{F}_{ij}^1 = \ell_{ij}^1 + 0.5 t_{ij}^1,
$$
  

$$
\mathcal{F}_{ij}^2 = \ell_{ij}^2 + t_{ij}^2 + s_{ij}.
$$

 $\ell_{ij}^k$ ,  $t_{ij}^k$  and  $s_{ij}$  are defined by

$$
\ell_{ij}^k = \iint [h(r)]^k (\vec{V}_1 \Phi_i^0) \cdot (\vec{V}_1 \Phi_j^0) dv_1 dv_2 ,
$$
  
\n
$$
t_{ij}^k = \iint \gamma(r) r^{k-1} \frac{r_1^2 - r_2^2 + r^2}{2r_1 r} \left\{ \Phi_i^0 \frac{\partial \Phi_j^0}{\partial r_1} + \Phi_j^0 \frac{\partial \Phi_i^0}{\partial r_1} \right\} dv_1 dv_2 ,
$$
\n(7)

with

$$
\gamma(r) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } r \leq D \\ 0 & \text{if } r > D \end{cases}
$$

and

$$
s_{ij} = \iint \gamma(r) \Phi_i^0 \Phi_j^0 dv_1 dv_2.
$$

The following definition will be needed in the further evaluation of  $\ell_{ij}^k$ ,  $t_{ij}^k$  and  $s_{ij}$ .

$$
(p, \eta/q, \zeta)^{*, n} = \int r_1^p r_2^q e^{-\eta r_1} e^{-\zeta r_2}(r) r^n dv_1 dv_2.
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned} \n\mathcal{L}_{ij}^k &= A_i A_j \sum c_i c_j \{ p_i p_j (p-2, \eta/q, \zeta)^{k,0} - (p_i \eta_j + p_j \eta_i) \\ \n&\times (p-1, \eta/q, \zeta)^{k,0} + \eta_i \eta_j (p, \eta/q, \zeta)^{k,0} \}, \n\end{aligned} \tag{8}
$$

$$
t_{ij}^k = 0.5 A_i A_j \sum c_i c_j \{(p_i + p_j) [p, \eta/q, \zeta)^{*, k-2} - (p-2, \eta/q+2, \zeta)^{*, k-2} + (p-2, \eta/q, \zeta)^{*, k} \} - \eta [(p+1, \eta/q, \zeta)^{*, k-2} - (p-1, \eta/q+2, \zeta)^{*, k-2} + (p-1, \eta/q, \zeta)^{*, k} \},
$$
\n
$$
(9)
$$

$$
s_{ij} = A_i A_j \sum c_i c_j (p, \eta/q, \zeta)^{*,0}, \qquad (10)
$$

where  $p = p_i + p_j$ ,  $q = q_i + q_j$ ,  $\eta = \eta_i + \eta_j$ ;  $\zeta = \zeta_i + \zeta_j$ . 26\*

Now we have expressed all occurring matrix elements in terms of the integrals  $(p, \eta/q, \zeta)^{m,n},$   $[p, \eta/q, \zeta]^{m,n}_X$  and  $(p, \eta/q, \zeta)^{*,n}$ . In order to work them out, we refer to the general integrals *(ac/bd)* and *[ac/bd],* evaluated by Calais and Löwdin [7] and Grein and Hawton [1], respectively. Both were expanded in a series containing the integrals  $Q(f, q, h, \lambda)$  and  $Q(f^n, q, h, \lambda)$ . Defining

$$
G\left(p,q,\eta,\zeta,\begin{Bmatrix}m\\* \end{Bmatrix},n,\lambda\right) = \int_{0}^{\infty} r_1^p e^{-\eta r_1} dr_1 \times \int_{0}^{\infty} r_2^q e^{-\zeta r_2} dr_2
$$
  
 
$$
\times \int_{0}^{\pi} \begin{Bmatrix} h(r)^m\\ \gamma(r) \end{Bmatrix} r^n P_{\lambda}^0(\cos \theta_{12}) \sin \theta_{12} d\theta_{12}
$$
 (11)

and similarly  $G^0(p, q, \eta, \zeta, {m \brace *}, n, \lambda)$ , with an additional factor  $R_5^{-1}$  in the integrand, we have the correspondence

$$
Q(f, g, h, 0) \to G\left(p+2, q+2, \eta, \zeta, \begin{Bmatrix}m\\* \end{Bmatrix}, n, 0\right),
$$
  

$$
Q(f^0, g, h, 0) \to G^0\left(p+2, q+2, \eta, \zeta, \begin{Bmatrix}m\\* \end{Bmatrix}, n, 0\right).
$$

The notation  $\{^{\prime\prime\prime}\}$  and  $\{^{\prime\prime\prime\prime}\}$  means that either the upper or the lower part is to be taken. For s-orbitals  $\lambda$  equals zero. In papers I and II we expressed the G-integrals by further introducing  $F$ -integrals (see Eq. (23) of I and Eq. (13) of II). Correspondingly we define here

$$
F(p,q,\eta,\zeta,\begin{Bmatrix}m\\ * \end{Bmatrix},n) = \int_{0}^{\infty} r_1^p e^{-\eta r_1} dr_1 \times \int_{0}^{\infty} r_2^q e^{-\zeta r_2} dr_2 \times \int_{|r_1 - r_2|}^{r_1 + r_2} {\begin{Bmatrix} h(r)^m\\ \gamma(r) \end{Bmatrix} r^n dr},
$$
 (12)

and similarly  $F^0$  with a factor  $R_0^{-1}$  in the integrand of the first integral. Then

and  

$$
G^{0}\left(p+2, q+2, \eta, \zeta, \begin{Bmatrix}m\\* \end{Bmatrix}, n, 0\right) = F^{0}\left(p+1, q+1, \eta, \zeta, \begin{Bmatrix}m\\* \end{Bmatrix}, n+1\right),
$$

$$
G\left(p+2, q+2, \eta, \zeta, \begin{Bmatrix}m\\* \end{Bmatrix}, n, 0\right) = F\left(p+1, q+1, \eta, \zeta, \begin{Bmatrix}m\\* \end{Bmatrix}, n+1\right).
$$
(13)

The F-integrals lead to expressions

$$
\int\limits_{D}^{\infty} r^s dr \times \int\limits_{0}^{\infty} r_1^p e^{-\eta r_1} R^a > r_1 \times \int\limits_{|r_1 - r|}^{r_1 + r} r_2^q e^{-\zeta r_2} dr_2 ,
$$

with a being 0 or  $-1$ , which can be solved by standard integration methods.

## **Equilateral Triangular H**<sup>+</sup>

For  $\Psi^0(r_1, r_2)$  we used Joshi's [6] one-center SCF wavefunctions up to four terms (ls to 4s). In the first series of computations we leave the linear

m		2	3	4
terms	1s	$+2s$	$+3s$	$+4s$
$R$ [a.u.]	0923	0.897	0.897	0.895
D [R]	1.8	$\overline{2}$	2.6	2.6
$\alpha$	0.359	0.300	0.233	0.230
$-E^{\alpha,D}$ [a.u.]	1.0522	1.1347	1.1908	1.1916
$-E^0$ [a.u.]	1.0260	1.1099	1.1663	1.1672
$-E^{\alpha, \infty}$ [a.u.]	1.0290	1.1196	1.1873	1.1883
$(E^0 - E^{\alpha, D})/E^0$	2.5%	$2.1\%$	2.1%	2.1%
$(E^{\alpha,\,\infty}-E^{\alpha,\,D})/E^{\alpha,\,\infty}$	$2.2\%$	1.3%	0.3%	$0.3\%$

Table 1. *Results with cutoff correlation factor for H +* 

coefficients, orbital exponents and internuclear distances unchanged. We have

$$
\Psi^{0}(r_{1}, r_{2}) = \varphi(r_{1})\varphi(r_{2}),
$$

$$
\varphi(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_{i}\chi_{i}(r).
$$

Optimization was performed with respect to  $\alpha$  and D only. As in the computations reported in paper III, the geometric center of the molecule was chosen to be the origin of the coordinate system. The results are given in Table 1.  $D$  is expressed in units of  $R$ ,  $R$  being the distance from the center of the molecule to one of the protons, or  $R = R_{HH}/\sqrt{3}$ .

 $E^{\alpha,D}$  is the energy obtained by using the cutoff correlation factor. For comparison  $E^0$ , the energy of the uncorrelated wavefunction, and  $E^{\alpha, \infty}$ , the energy of the correlated wavefunction using the correlation factor  $1 + \alpha r_{12}$ , are also given.

The smallest increment of D was 0.2 R, the smallest increment of  $\alpha$  was 0.001. It is of interest to observe that there is an absolute minimum for finite D. This minimum with respect to  $D$  was broad, becoming broader with increasing  $m$ .  $\alpha$  decreases but *D* increases with *m*, so the "range of correlation" increases with the larger expansion. If this trend continues the cutoff correlation factor  $1 + \alpha h(r_{12})$  goes over into the correlation factor over all space,  $1 + \alpha r_{12}$ . The product  $\alpha D$  is approximately constant in the  $m = 2$  to  $m = 4$  computations, namely about 0.60 R.

In Table 2 we give some percentage lowerings of two-electron probability densities, due to the inclusion of the cutoff correlation factor. We define

$$
\Delta \Psi^2 = {\Psi^0(r_1, r_2)}^2 - {\Psi^a(\vec{r}_1, \vec{r}_2)}^2,
$$

with normalized wavefunctions. In parentheses the corresponding values for the correlation factor  $1+\alpha r_{12}$  are given.

Notice that initial lowerings are high, and that they decrease with increasing m. This tendency was opposite in the case of the correlation factor  $1 + \alpha r_{12}$ . At  $m = 4$  the percentage lowerings are similar. This again demonstrates that the

#### 376 T. C. Chang and F. Grein: Correlated One'Center Wavefunctions

m	$\varDelta \varPsi^2/\varPsi^{02}$ % $r_1 = r_2 = R$ , $r_{12} = 0$	$\Delta \Psi^2/\Psi^{02}$ % $r_1 = r_{12} = R/2$ , $r_2 = R$		
	58 (18)	42(15)		
2	53 (31)	40(25)		
3	49 (43)	37(34)		
	48 (44)	37(35)		

Table 2. *Lowering of two-electron probability densities* 

Wavefunction	п	$R$ [a.u.]	$D \lceil R \rceil$	α	$-E$ [a.u.]
$\Psi^{0}(m=1)$	1.0136	0.923			1.02605
$\Psi^{\alpha,\infty}(m=1)$	1.1146	0.929	$\infty$	0.245	1.0369
$\Psi^{\alpha, D}(m=1)$	1.0805	0.926	2.07	0.434	1.0595

Table 3. *Complete optimization of various wavefunctions* 

cutoff correlation factor allows for strong electron correlation in the case of short expansions, but it loses its efficiency as m increases.

Complete optimization of all parameters, namely  $\eta$  (the orbital exponent),  $\alpha$ , and R was performed for  $m=1$ . The results are shown in Table 3, and compared with corresponding calculations for the uncorrelated wavefunction  $(\Psi^0)$  and for  $\Psi^{\alpha,\infty}$ , referring to the correlation factor  $1 + \alpha r_{12}(D = \infty)$ .

All increments are 0.001 or less. The energy obtained from  $\mathcal{V}^{\alpha,D}$  is again lower than the energy resulting from  $\Psi^{\alpha,\infty}$ .

*Acknowledgements.* We gratefully acknowledge financial aid in support of this research granted by the National Research Council of Canada, and computational assistance given to us by the Computing Center of the University of New Brunswick.

#### **References**

- 1. Grein, F., Hawton, M. H.: J. chem. Physics 46, 4121 (1967).
- 2. Tseng, T. J.: Theoret. chim. Acta (Berl.) 12, 57 (1968); Erratum: Theoret. chim. Acta (Berl.) 15, 271 (1969).
- 3. Chang, T. C.: Theoret. chim. Acta (Berl.) 12, 243 (1968).
- 4. Gimarc, B. M., Cooney, W. A., Parr, R. G.: J. chem. Physics 42, 21 (1965).
- 5. J. chem. Physics 47, 5110 (1967).
- 6. Joshi, B. D.: J. chem. Physics 44, 3627 (1966).
- 7. Calais, J. L., L6wdin, P. O.: J. molecular Spectroscopy 8, 203 (1962).

Dr. F. Grein Department of Chemistry University of Brunswick Fredericton, New Brunswick, Canada